## ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION 2006-2007 SUMMARY REPORT

229 programs serving 28,078 students in 66 counties and 191 school corporations

## STATEWIDE OUTCOMES FOR ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION STUDENTS (2006-2007):

Please note that outcomes listed below represent the most accurate outcome for the student at the time of departure from the alternative education program, or at the end of the year (whichever came first).

**66%** of 6-12<sup>th</sup> graders served by alternative education had positive outcomes:

10% received a high school diploma (47% of 12<sup>th</sup> graders received a diploma) 1% earned a GED 56% met or made progress toward individual goals set in the Individual Service Plan

**25%** of students served by alternative education had neutral outcomes:

12% did not meet goals but remained in school and in the alternative education program 13% transferred to another school setting prior to completion of the alternative education program

9% of students served by alternative education had negative outcomes:

6% dropped out of school 3% were expelled

## **GENERAL INFORMATION:**

## **Table 1: Program Types**

TYPE OF PROGRAM	% OF TOTAL PROGRAMS
1. A short-term placement of <15 days for students who have been disruptive, possibly as an	
alternative to expulsion, or a Detention Center. The program keeps these students involved	
in education so that they do not lose out on instructional time.	8% (19)
2. To bring students who have not passed necessary state tests, who have failing grades, or	
who are in need of credits back to the level of their peers. The school personnel works with	
students until they have reached the academic level where they are supposed to be.	33% (76)
3. To deal with students who have had behavioral/discipline issues ("disruptive students").	
The purpose is to remove these students from the traditional classroom to modify their	
behavior so that they can return to the traditional classroom, while at the same time keeping	
the students tied to an educational program.	21% (48)
4. To prepare students for life after school by helping them finish their academic studies and	
teaching them to be productive members of the community. The alternative school serves	
students who have withdrawn or intend to withdraw from school; are parents or expectant	
parents; are employed during the school day; or those who have not complied academically	
because of one of these causes.	38% (86)

**Table 2: Total Enrollment by Category** 

CATEGORY	% OF TOTAL ENROLLMENT							
GRADE LEVEL								
Grade 6	4.4%							
Grade 7	11.0%							
Grade 8	13.5%							
Grade 9	18.9%							
Grade 10	17.3%							
Grade 11	16.2%							
Grade 12	18.8%							
	GENDER							
% Male	56.9%							
% Female	43.1%							
E	THNICITY							
% American Indian	0.3%							
% African-American	32.6%							
% Asian	0.4%							
% Hispanic	6.7%							
% White	56.6%							
% Multiracial	3.4%							
FREE/REDU	CED LUNCH STATUS							
% Free Lunch	49.3%							
% Reduced Lunch	9.0%							
% Paid Lunch	41.7%							
EDU	CATION TYPE							
% Special Education	18.6%							
% General Education	81.4%							

**Table 3: Total Enrollment by Eligibility** 

Total Enrollment by Eligibility Type						
	% of					
Eligibility Type	Total					
Intends to withdraw/has withdrawn	7.8%					
Failed to comply academically	48.4%					
Parent or expectant parent	4.6%					
Required employment	1.8%					
Disruptive student	37.4%					

Table 4: Grade Level Enrollment by Program Type

Program Type	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12	TOTAL
1	5.8%	13.4%	19.6%	22.6%	19.3%	13.2%	6.1%	100%
2	6.2%	16.5%	15.9%	21.6%	13.8%	12.2%	13.8%	100%
3	6.0%	11.1%	17.5%	18.8%	18.8%	14.4%	13.3%	100%
4	0.2%	2.6%	3.5%	12.5%	18.9%	24.3%	38.1%	100%

The table above represents the percentage of each grade level represented by the program type. For example, of the students who were served by Type 4 programs, about 6.2% were in grades 6-8, while 93.8% were in grades 9-12.

Table 5: Percent of Total Grade Level Enrollments by Program Type

	Grade						
Program Type	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	32.6%	30.0%	35.7%	29.4%	27.3%	19.9%	7.9%
2	46.6%	49.1%	38.6%	37.7%	26.2%	24.7%	24.1%
3	19.3%	14.1%	18.2%	14.0%	15.2%	12.5%	9.9%
4	1.4%	6.8%	7.4%	18.9%	31.3%	42.9%	58.1%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

The table above represents the breakdown in each grade level by program type. For example, of all the 6<sup>th</sup> graders served by alternative education, 32.6% were served in Type 1 programs; 46.6% were served in Type 2 programs; 19.3% were served in Type 3 programs; and 1.4% were served in Type 4 programs.

**Table 6: Eligibility by Program Type** 

Program Type	Intends to withdraw or has withdrawn	Failed to comply academically	Parent/Expectant Parent	Required Employment	Disruptive Student	TOTAL
1	3.2%	10.8%	0.4%	0.0%	85.6%	100%
2	4.6%	85.4%	1.8%	2.2%	6.0%	100%
3	5.7%	26.8%	6.0%	1.7%	59.8%	100%
4	16.4%	49.0%	10.6%	2.8%	21.3%	100%

The table above represents the percentage of each eligibility category represented by the program type. For example, in Type 2 programs, 4.6% of students were classified as intending to withdraw or having withdrawn; 85.4% were classified as failing to comply academically; 1.8% were parents or expectant parents; 2.2% had required employment; and 6.0% were classified as disruptive students.

Table 7: Eligibility by Grade, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Poverty

	Intends to withdraw or has	Failed to comply	Parent or Expectant	Required	Disruptive	
Category	withdrawn	academically	Parent	<b>Employment</b>	Student	TOTAL
		STAT	<b>TEWIDE</b>			
All Students	7.8%	48.4%	4.6%	1.8%	37.4%	100%
			GRADE			
Grade 6	0.0%	63.1%	0.0%	0.0%	36.9%	100%
Grade 7	3.2%	54.9%	1.3%	0.0%	40.6%	100%
Grade 8	0.5%	50.4%	2.2%	0.0%	46.9%	100%
Grade 9	4.3%	53.1%	3.8%	0.4%	38.3%	100%
Grade 10	7.8%	53.3%	5.8%	0.7%	32.4%	100%
Grade 11	13.4%	54.5%	9.4%	1.5%	21.3%	100%
Grade 12	18.7%	57.7%	8.7%	4.6%	10.3%	100%
		(	GENDER			
% Male	8.2%	52.8%	1.2%	1.3%	36.5%	100%
% Female	8.8%	56.9%	10.7%	1.4%	22.1%	100%
		ET	HNICITY			
% American Indian	12.3%	58.9%	5.5%	0.0%	23.3%	100%
% African-American	3.3%	55.2%	4.4%	0.3%	36.8%	100%
% Asian	12.1%	61.6%	3.0%	1.0%	22.2%	100%
% Hispanic	6.7%	59.5%	5.3%	2.1%	26.4%	100%
% White	11.7%	54.0%	5.9%	2.0%	26.5%	100%
% Multiracial	5.9%	46.7%	6.0%	0.9%	40.5%	100%
		Pe	OVERTY	<u> </u>		
% Free Lunch	4.3%	56.2%	5.1%	0.6%	33.7%	100%
% Reduced Lunch	4.8%	58.9%	4.4%	1.6%	30.3%	100%
% Paid Lunch	11.1%	55.0%	5.4%	2.1%	26.5%	100%
		EDUC	ATION TYPE			
% Special Ed	4.2%	49.3%	4.8%	0.8%	40.9%	100%
% General Ed	9.4%	55.8%	5.5%	1.5%	27.9%	100%

The table above represents the breakdown by grade, gender, race/ethnicity, and poverty for each eligibility category. For example, of all the 6<sup>th</sup> graders served by alternative education in 2006-2007, 0% intended to withdraw or had withdrawn from school, 63.1% were failing academically, 0% were parents or expectant parents, 0% had required employment, and 36.9% were disruptive students. Of all male students, 8.2% intended to withdraw or had withdrawn; 52.8% failed to comply academically; 1.2% were parents or expectant parents; 1.3% had required employment; and 36.5% were disruptive students.

Table 8: Percent of Total Eligibility Categories by Grade, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, Poverty

	Intends to withdraw	Failed to comply	Parent/Expectant	Required	Disruptive
Category	or has withdrawn	academically	Parent	Employment	Student
		GRADE LEVEL			T
Grade 6	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Grade 7	4.4%	11.6%	2.8%	0.0%	15.4%
Grade 8	0.7%	12.3%	5.5%	0.0%	20.6%
Grade 9	9.3%	17.6%	12.8%	5.8%	22.8%
Grade 10	15.1%	16.0%	17.6%	8.5%	17.5%
Grade 11	25.9%	16.2%	28.6%	17.6%	11.4%
Grade 12	44.6%	21.2%	32.7%	68.2%	6.8%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		GENDER			
% Male	55.1%	55.0%	13.2%	54.5%	68.5%
% Female	44.9%	45.0%	86.8%	45.5%	31.5%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		ETHNICITY			
% American Indian	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%
% African-American	12.8%	33.0%	26.8%	6.1%	39.7%
% Asian	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
% Hispanic	5.3%	7.3%	6.7%	10.3%	5.9%
% White	78.5%	56.0%	62.2%	81.2%	49.5%
% Multiracial	2.4%	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%	4.5%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		POVERTY			
% Free Lunch	29.6%	49.6%	49.0%	23.7%	54.7%
% Reduced Lunch	6.0%	9.4%	7.6%	10.8%	8.9%
% Paid Lunch	64.5%	41.0%	43.3%	65.4%	36.4%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		EDUCATION TY	PE		
% Special Education	9.3%	16.8%	16.8%	10.9%	25.1%
% General Education	00 =	02.20/	02.20/	00.10/	74.00/
/ O Chici di Laucation	90.7%	83.2%	83.2%	89.1%	74.9%

The table above shows the breakdown in each eligibility category by grade, gender, race/ethnicity, and poverty. For example, of ALL students who were classified as eligible for alternative education due to intending to withdraw or having withdrawn from school, 55.1% were male and 44.9% were female. Of ALL students who were classified as failing academically, 49.6% were eligible for free lunch, 9.4% were eligible for reduced lunch, and 41.0% were paid lunch.

**Table 9: Outcomes by Program Type** 

Program Type	Earned HS Diploma	Earned GED	Attained Goals in ISP	Made Progress on Goals in ISP	No Progress but Stayed in Program	Transferred	Dropped Out	Expelled	TOTAL
1	0.9%	1.6%	32.9%	28.9%	1.9%	31.6%	1.4%	0.9%	100%
2	6.5%	0.2%	25.1%	40.4%	12.9%	8.3%	5.2%	1.4%	100%
3	5.1%	1.1%	25.6%	30.2%	12.6%	14.8%	5.1%	5.7%	100%
4	21.0%	1.7%	17.4%	21.2%	12.9%	13.6%	9.3%	2.9%	100%
Statewide	10.0%	1.0%	23.9%	32.2%	11.8%	12.5%	6.0%	2.5%	100%

This table represents the breakdown by outcome for each program type. For example, for all students served in Type 2 programs, 6.5% earned a high school diploma; 0.2% earned a GED; 25.1% attained all goals in their Individual Service Plans (ISPs); 40.4% made progress on goals in ISPs but did not attain all goals; 12.9% made no progress on goals in their ISPs but remained in the program; 8.3% transferred from the alternative education program to another educational setting prior to completion of the program; 5.2% dropped out of the alternative education program, not returning to any other educational setting; and 1.4% were expelled from the alternative education program.

Table 10: Aggregated Outcomes by Program Type

Program Type	Positive	Neutral	Negative	TOTAL
1	64.3%	33.5%	2.3%	100%
2	72.2%	21.2%	6.6%	100%
3	62.0%	27.4%	10.8%	100%
4	61.3%	26.5%	12.2%	100%
Statewide	66%	25%	9%	100%

This table represents the breakdown of outcomes by program type. For example, Type 4 programs had 61% of their students with positive outcomes, 27% with neutral outcomes, and 12% with negative outcomes.

Table 11: Percent of Total Outcomes by Program Type

Program Type	Earned HS Diploma	Earned GED	Attained Goals in ISP	Made Progress on Goals in ISP	No Progress but Stayed in Program	Transferred	Dropped Out	Expelled
1	2.4%	35.3%	32.9%	23.5%	4.6%	47.6%	6.7%	9.6%
2	23.5%	7.1%	33.0%	43.1%	41.7%	16.5%	31.3%	19.7%
3	7.7%	13.3%	14.1%	13.5%	17.1%	12.3%	13.0%	34.3%
4	66.4%	44.3%	20.0%	19.8%	36.5%	23.6%	49.0%	36.4%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

This table represents the breakdown in each outcome area by program type. For example, for ALL students whose outcomes was listed as "earned high school diploma", 2.4% were from Type 1 programs; 23.5% were from Type 2 programs; 7.7% were from Type 3 programs; and 66.4% were from Type 4 programs.

Table 12: Outcome Categories by Grade, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, Poverty, and Special Education

Category	Earned HS Diploma	Earned GED	Attained Goals in ISP	Made Progress on ISP Goals	No Progress but Stayed in Program	Transferred	Dropped Out	Expelled	TOTAL
				CD LDE					
G 1 (	0.00/	0.00/	20.20/	GRADE		<b>7</b> 00/	0.50	1 4 -01	4000/
Grade 6	0.0%	0.0%	28.2%	57.2%	6.7%	5.8%	0.5%	1.6%	100%
Grade 7	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%	52.7%	11.8%	7.9%	0.8%	2.5%	100%
Grade 8	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	46.3%	9.9%	10.9%	1.4%	2.9%	100%
Grade 9	0.0%	0.4%	25.5%	35.1%	16.2%	14.2%	5.7%	3.0%	100%
Grade 10	0.4%	1.0%	26.3%	29.7%	16.2%	17.1%	6.2%	3.2%	100%
Grade 11	3.5%	2.9%	28.7%	24.8%	12.0%	15.3%	10.4%	2.4%	100%
Grade 12	46.6%	1.5%	12.6%	11.0%	6.6%	10.0%	10.1%	1.6%	100%
				GEN	NED				
% Male	12.2%	0.9%	24.4%	31.1%	10.7%	11.7%	6.9%	2.2%	100%
% Female	8.4%	1.1%	23.5%	33.0%	12.7%	13.1%	5.4%	2.8%	100%
				RACE/ET	HNICITY				
% Amer. Ind.	8.2%	1.4%	17.8%	39.7%	9.6%	15.1%	6.8%	1.4%	100%
% AfrAmer.	3.8%	0.4%	27.6%	37.7%	14.7%	9.9%	3.9%	2.0%	100%
% Asian	16.2%	1.0%	29.3%	28.3%	8.1%	9.1%	6.1%	2.0%	100%
% Hispanic	7.8%	0.7%	28.9%	39.0%	9.3%	7.9%	4.7%	1.7%	100%
% White	14.1%	1.4%	21.0%	28.1%	10.6%	14.5%	7.4%	2.8%	100%
% Multiracial	7.0%	0.9%	25.6%	33.1%	10.7%	12.8%	6.7%	3.2%	100%
				POVI	ZDTV				
% Free Lunch	4.6%	0.5%	24.6%	37.3%	13.8%	12.3%	4.2%	2.7%	100%
% Red. Lunch	7.7%	0.5%	25.2%	37.8%	12.4%	10.8%	3.0%	2.7%	100%
% Red. Lunch	16.5%	1.4%	22.2%	27.6%	10.1%	10.8%	7.0%	2.5%	100%
, o I aid Duilell	10.570	1.170	22.270	27.070	10.170	12.770	7.070	2.5 /0	100/0
				EDUCATI	ON TYPE				
% Special Ed	5.0%	0.5%	17.9%	40.9%	14.8%	15.8%	4.2%	0.9%	100%
% General Ed	11.2%	1.1%	25.3%	30.2%	11.1%	11.7%	6.5%	2.9%	100%

The table above represents the percentage of students attaining each outcome broken down by grade level, gender, race/ethnicity, poverty, and special education. For example, for all males served by alternative education in 2006-2007, 12.2% earned a high school diploma, 0.9% earned a GED, etc.

Table 13: Percent of Total Outcome Categories by Grade, Gender, Race/Ethnicity, Poverty

Category	Earned HS Diploma	Earned GED	Attained Goals in ISP	Made Progress on ISP Goals	No Progress but Stayed in Program	Transferred	Dropped Out	Expelled			
GRADE LEVEL											
Grade 6	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	7.8%	2.5%	2.1%	0.3%	2.8%			
Grade 7	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%	18.8%	11.5%	7.3%	1.5%	11.5%			
Grade 8	0.0%	0.0%	15.9%	19.2%	11.1%	11.6%	3.1%	15.6%			
Grade 9	0.0%	7.0%	19.2%	19.7%	24.7%	20.6%	17.0%	21.2%			
Grade 10	0.6%	15.6%	18.0%	15.1%	22.5%	22.4%	16.7%	20.6%			
Grade 11	5.7%	47.1%	19.5%	12.5%	16.6%	19.9%	27.9%	15.6%			
Grade 12	93.7%	30.3%	10.5%	6.9%	11.2%	16.1%	33.4%	12.7%			
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
GENDER											
% Male	47.8%	61.5%	56.0%	58.3%	61.2%	59.6%	50.7%	62.8%			
% Female	52.2%	38.5%	44.0%	41.7%	38.8%	40.4%	49.3%	37.2%			
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
			RACE/	ETHNICITY							
% American											
Indian	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%			
% African-											
American	12.2%	11.5%	37.7%	38.3%	40.6%	25.9%	21.0%	26.5%			
% Asian	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%			
% Hispanic	5.2%	4.5%	8.1%	8.1%	5.3%	4.2%	5.3%	4.6%			
% White	79.4%	80.3%	49.8%	49.4%	50.5%	65.8%	69.3%	64.1%			
% Multiracial	2.3%	2.9%	3.6%	3.5%	3.0%	3.4%	3.7%	4.3%			
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
				VERTY		1					
% Free Lunch	22.9%	26.9%	51.2%	55.2%	56.1%	49.2%	39.5%	51.2%			
% Reduced											
Lunch	7.0%	5.9%	9.5%	10.2%	9.2%	7.9%	5.1%	8.7%			
% Paid Lunch	70.1%	67.2%	39.2%	34.6%	34.7%	42.9%	55.4%	40.1%			
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
			EDUCA	TION TYPE							
% Special											
Education	9.3%	9.8%	13.9%	23.6%	23.3%	23.6%	12.9%	6.4%			
% General											
Education	90.7%	90.2%	86.1%	76.4%	76.7%	76.4%	87.1%	93.6%			
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			

The table above shows the breakdown in each eligibility category by grade, gender, race/ethnicity, and poverty. For example, of ALL students who were classified as earning a high school diploma, 22.9% were free lunch eligible, 7.0% were reduced price lunch eligible, and 70.1% were not eligible for free or reduced price lunch.

**Table 14: Outcome Categories by Eligibility** 

	Earned HS	Earned	Attained Goals in	Made Progress on	No Progress but Stayed in		Dropped		
Category	Diploma	GED	ISP	ISP Goals	Program	Transferred	Out	Expelled	TOTAL
Withdrawn or									
planning to									
withdraw	24.2%	4.2%	13.5%	20.1%	7.9%	12.0%	15.5%	2.6%	100%
Failing									
academically	10.2%	0.8%	24.2%	33.9%	12.9%	11.0%	5.5%	1.6%	100%
Pregnant or									
parenting	20.8%	1.4%	16.4%	23.6%	10.5%	12.7%	13.2%	1.3%	100%
Required									
employment	41.8%	1.2%	11.8%	17.9%	7.0%	7.9%	11.2%	1.2%	100%
Disruptive									
student	2.6%	0.4%	28.2%	34.6%	11.5%	15.4%	3.0%	4.4%	100%

Table 14 represents the percentage of students attaining each outcome category broken down by their reason for attending the alternative education program. For example, for all students referred to alternative education because they were failing academically and would benefit from alternative instruction, 10.2% earned a high school diploma; 0.8% earned a GED; 24.2% attained all goals in their individual service plans (ISPs); 33.9% made progress on goals in ISP; 12.9% did not make progress on goals but remained in the program; 11.0% transferred to another educational setting prior to completion of the program; 5.5% dropped out of the program; and 1.6% were expelled from the program.

Table 15: Percent of Total Outcome Categories by Eligibility

Category	Earned HS Diploma	Earned GED	Attained Goals in ISP	Made Progress on ISP Goals	No Progress but Stayed in Program	Transferred	Dropped Out	Expelled
Withdrawn or								
planning to								
withdraw	20.3%	35.2%	4.8%	5.3%	5.6%	8.1%	21.6%	8.6%
Failing								
academically	55.2%	44.3%	55.2%	57.5%	59.4%	48.2%	49.3%	34.9%
Pregnant or								
parenting	11.1%	7.4%	3.7%	3.9%	4.8%	5.4%	11.7%	2.8%
Required								
employment	5.7%	1.6%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	2.5%	0.7%
Disruptive								
student	7.8%	11.5%	35.7%	32.6%	29.4%	37.4%	14.9%	53.1%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Table 15 shows the breakdown in each outcome category by eligibility category. For example, of all of the students who were expelled from alternative education programs, 8.6% were eligible for alternative education because of having withdrawn from school or planning to withdraw; 34.9% were failing academically; 2.8% were pregnant or parenting; 0.7% had required employment; and 53.1% were disruptive students.